DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

Attorney Docket No:	NEC 2020	
First Named Inventor	:Hi:	roshi SAEKI
Complete if known:	Serial No:	Filing Date: January 5, 2001
	Group Art Unit: _	Examiner:
As a below named in	ventor, I hereby de	eclare that:
My residence, post of	ffice address and c	citizenship are as stated below next to my name.
Blaimed and for which PANEL MANUF the specification of which pecification, including the specification, including the specification in according the specification in according the specification of t	h a patent is sough ACTURING APP which is attached h ave reviewed and ing the claims, as a tty to disclose info ance with Title 37 in priority benefits ent or inventor's c cleast one country of below any foreign	al names are listed below) of the subject matter which is nt on the invention entitled PLASMA DISPLAY ARATUS AND MANUFACTURING METHOD ereto. understand the contents of the above-identified amended by any amendment referred to above. ormation which is material to the examination of this code of Federal Regulations, S. 1.56(a). under 35 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d) or 365(b) of any foreign ertificate, or 365(a) of any PCT international application other than the United States of America, listed below and application for patent or inventor's certificate or of any filling date before that of the application on which priority
Prior Foreign Applic	ation(s):	Certified Copy
2000-1842	Japan	Priority Claimed Attached 01/07/2000
(Number)	(Country)	(Month/Day/Year Filed)
(Number)	(Country)	

I hereby claim the benefit under 3 listed below:	5 U.S.C. 119(e) of any United	States provisional application(s)
Application No	Filing I	Date:
I hereby claim the benefit under 3 any PCT international application insofar as the subject matter of ear United States or PCT Internationa 35 U.S.C 112, I acknowledge the as defined in 37 CFR 1.56 which application and the national or PC	designating the United States ch of the claims of this applica al application in the manner pro- duty to disclose information w became available between the	of America, listed below and, tion is not disclosed in the prior ovided by the first paragraph of hich is material to patentability filing date of the prior
US Parent Application No. or PCT Parent Appln. No.	Parent Filing Date	Parent Patent Number (if applicable)
And I hereby appoint HAYES, St firm composed of Oliver W. Hay, William 0. Hennessey, Reg. No. 3. Reg. No. 35,001; and Edmund Pa Street, Manchester, New Hampsh power of substitution and revocat the Patent Office connected there Please direct all future correspond Porman P. Soloway HAYES, St	es, Reg. No. 15,867; Norman F 32,032; Susan H. Hage, Reg. No. ull Pfleger, Reg. No. 41,252, or nire 03101 (Telephone: 603-66 tion, to prosecute this application with. dence in connection with this a OLOWAY, HENNESSEY, GF	P. Soloway, Reg. No. 24,315; to 29,646; Steven J. Grossman, range of them, of 175 Canal 18-1400) my attorneys with full on and to transact all business in pplication to the attention of COSSMAN & HAGE, P.C.,
175 Canal Street, Manchester, Ne	ew Hampshire 03101 (Telepho	ne: 603-668-1400).
Fhereby declare that all statemen statements made on information is statements were made with the ki punishable by fine or imprisonme Code and that such willful false s patent issued thereon.	and belief are believed to be tro nowledge that willful false stat ent, or both, under Section 100	ue; and further that these ements and the like so made are 1 of Title 18 of the United States
Full name of sole or first invento	r: Hiroshi SAEKI	
First Inventor's signature Residence: c/o NEC CORPORAT: Citizenship: Japan Dest Office Address: Same as Re	ION, 7-1, Shiba 5-chome,	Date September 18, 2000 Minato-ku, Tokyo, Japan

IMPORTANT NOTICE RE DUTY OF CANDOR AND GOOD FAITH

The Duty of Disclosure requirements of Section 1.56(a), of Title 37 of the Code of Federal Regulations are as follows:

A duty of candor and good faith toward the Patent and Trademark Office rests on the inventor, on each attorney or agent who prepares or prosecutes the application and on every other individual who is substantively involved in the preparation or prosecution of the application and who is associated with the inventor, with the assignee or with anyone to whom there is an obligation to assign the application. All such individuals have a duty to disclose to the Office information they are aware of which is material to the examination of the application. Such information is material where there is a substantial likelihood that a reasonable examiner would consider it important in deciding whether to allow the application to issue as a patent. The duty is commensurate with the degree of involvement in the preparation or prosecution of the application.

By virtue of this regulation each inventor executing the Declaration for the filing of a Patent Application acknowledges his duty to disclose information of which he is aware and which may be material to the examination of the application.

Inherent in this is the duty to disclose any knowledge or belief that the invention:

- (a) was ever known or used in the United States of America before his invention thereof;
- (b) was patented or described in any printed publication in any country before his invention thereof or more than one year prior to the actual filing date of the U.S. patent application;

15

Un di

- (c) was in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to the actual filing date of the U.S. patent application; or
- (d) has been patented or made the subject of inventor's certificate issued before the actual filing date of the U.S. patent application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by him or his legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months before the actual filing date in the United States.

NOTE: The "Information" concerned includes, but is not limited to, all published applications and patents, including applicant's and assignee's own. U.S. or foreign applications and patents, as well as any other pertinent prior art known, or which becomes known, to the inventor or his representatives. Where English language equivalents of foreign language documents are known, they should be identified and, when possible, copies supplied. Failure to comply with this requirement may result in a patent issued on the application being held invalid even if the known prior art which is not supplied is material to only one claim of that patent.